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#### Abstract

The Lorentz invariance is broken for the non-Abelian monopoles. Here we will consider the case of the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole and show that the Lorentz invariance of its field will be restored using Dirac quantization.


PACS numbers: $11.30 . \mathrm{Cp}, 03.70 .+\mathrm{k}$

## 1. Introduction

Soon after the non-Abelian monopoles were shown to break color [1-3], Balachandran et al [4] showed that monopoles also break the Lorentz invariance. They showed that to be true for topologically stable as well as unstable monopoles, in the former case the monopoles are predicted as stable topological excitations by gauge theories based on a simply connected gauged group $G$, which is broken spontaneously by the 'Higgs vacuum' (defined by equations (2.1) and (2.2)), to a subgroup $H$ which is not simply connected. $H$ cannot be simply connected since classes of its first homotopy group, $\Pi_{1}(H)$, are isomorphic to the topological quantum numbers of the magnetic charge. If $\Pi_{1}(H)=0$, then there can be no magnetic monopole: for $G$ simply connected, we have $\Pi_{1}(H) \simeq \Pi_{2}(G / H)$, where the right coset $G / H$ is isomorphic to the vacuum manifold of the Higgs field $\mathcal{M}_{o}$ [5]. Balachandran and collaborators also showed that the Lorentz invariance is broken in the case of topologically unstable magnetic monopoles arising from the GNO configurations (GNO configurations are named after Goddard, Nuyts and Olive who first introduced them [6]).

In this paper, we will consider the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole's field [7, 8] (outside its core, i.e. in the Higgs vacuum region) and show that using results from the Dirac quantization of this field [9] will help restoring the Lorentz invariance broken at the classical level.

The boundary conditions does not play a role in breaking the Lorentz invariance here since we are considering free monopoles not interacting with external fields. Therefore, it is expected that the Lorentz violation has its origin in the singular structure of the monopole's core.

## 2. Preliminaries

The 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole [5] and the Dirac quantization of its field [9]. (We will use the metric $(+,-,-,-)$. A Greek alphabet index runs from 0 to 3 , and a Latin alphabet index runs from 1 to 3 , unless otherwise stated.)

The 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole model consists of an $S O$ (3) gauge field interacting with an isovector Higgs field $\boldsymbol{\phi}$. The model's Lagrangian is

$$
L=-\frac{1}{4} G_{a}^{\mu \nu} G_{a \mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2} D^{\mu} \phi \cdot D_{\mu} \phi-V(\phi)
$$

where $\phi=\left(\left(\phi_{1}, \phi_{2}, \phi_{3}\right)\right)$ and $V(\phi)=\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(\phi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{2}^{2}+\phi_{3}^{2}-a^{2}\right)^{2} . G_{a}^{\mu \nu}$ is the gauge field strength: $G_{a}^{\mu \nu}=\partial^{\mu} W_{a}^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} W_{a}^{\mu}-e \varepsilon_{a b c} W_{b}^{\mu} W_{c}^{\nu}$, where $W_{a}^{\mu}$ is the gauge potential.

The model's Lagrangian full symmetry group $S O(3)$, generated by $T_{a}$ 's, is spontaneously broken, by the Higgs vacuum (defined below), down to $S O(2)(\simeq U(1))$, generated by $\frac{\phi \cdot T}{a}$. The model's non-singular extended solution looks, at large distances, like a Dirac monopole.

The monopole's energy finiteness implies that there is some radius $r_{0}$ such that for $r \geqslant r_{0}$ we have, to a good approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\mu} \phi \equiv \partial^{\mu} \phi-e \mathbf{W}^{\mu} \times \phi=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{2}^{2}+\phi_{3}^{2}-a^{2}=0 \quad(\Rightarrow V(\phi)=0) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Regions of spacetime, where the above two equations are satisfied, constitute the Higgs vacuum.

The general form of $\mathbf{W}^{\mu}$ in the Higgs vacuum is [10]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{W}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{a^{2} e} \phi \times \partial^{\mu} \phi+\frac{1}{a} \phi A^{\mu}, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A^{\mu}$ is arbitrary. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{G}^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{a} \phi F^{\mu \nu} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{a^{3} e} \phi \cdot\left(\partial^{\mu} \phi \times \partial^{\nu} \phi\right)+\partial^{\mu} A^{\nu}-\partial^{\nu} A^{\mu} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

so in Higgs vacuum $\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4} G_{a}^{\mu \nu} G_{a \mu \nu}$, and on account of (2.2) and (2.4), we get $\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}$.

In the Higgs vacuum region, we also have the conjugate momentum of dynamical coordinates, $A^{\eta}(\mathbf{x})$ 's and $\phi_{i}(\mathbf{x})$ 's, given by [9]
$\Pi_{\eta}(x) \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}^{\eta}(x)}=\frac{\varepsilon_{r s t}}{a^{3} e} \phi_{r} \partial_{\eta} \phi_{s} \partial_{0} \phi_{t}+\partial_{\eta} A_{0}-\partial_{0} A_{\eta}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}0, & \text { for } \eta=0 \\ F_{i 0}, & \text { for } \eta=i=1,2,3\end{array}\right.$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{l}(x) \equiv \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\phi}_{l}(x)}=\frac{\varepsilon_{i j l}}{a^{3} e} \phi_{i} \partial^{k} \phi_{j}\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{r s t}}{a^{3} e} \phi_{r} \partial_{0} \phi_{s} \partial_{k} \phi_{t}+\partial_{0} A_{k}-\partial_{k} A_{0}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for the Dirac quantization of the monopole's field (i.e. in the Higgs vacuum region), the details are given in [9], but we will quote here the equations we will need in section 3 .

The complete set of constraints in the axial gauge, $\zeta_{\alpha}(\alpha=1, \ldots, 8)$, are [9]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \zeta_{1}=\phi_{2} \Phi_{1}-\phi_{1} \Phi_{2}-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{2} \chi \approx 0, \\
& \zeta_{2}=\phi_{3} \Phi_{2}-\phi_{2} \Phi_{3}-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \chi \approx 0, \\
& \zeta_{3}=\frac{1}{2 a^{2}}\left(\phi_{1} \Phi_{1}+\phi_{2} \Phi_{2}+\phi_{3} \Phi_{3}\right) \approx 0, \\
& \zeta_{4}=\chi \equiv \phi_{1}^{2}+\phi_{2}^{2}+\phi_{3}^{2}-a^{2} \approx 0,  \tag{2.8}\\
& \zeta_{5}=\partial^{i} \Pi_{i} \approx 0, \\
& \zeta_{6}=\frac{1}{a e}\left(\phi_{2} \partial^{3} \phi_{1}-\phi_{1} \partial^{3} \phi_{2}\right)-A^{3} \phi_{3} \approx 0, \\
& \zeta_{7}=\frac{1}{a e}\left(\phi_{3} \partial^{3} \phi_{2}-\phi_{2} \partial^{3} \phi_{3}\right)-A^{3} \phi_{1} \approx 0, \\
& \zeta_{8}=A^{3} \approx 0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Phi_{l} \equiv \pi_{l}+\frac{\varepsilon_{i j l}}{a^{3} e} \phi_{i} \partial^{k} \phi_{j} \Pi_{k}$ and $\alpha_{k} \equiv \frac{3}{a^{3} e} \Pi_{j} \partial^{j} \phi_{k}$. To carry out the Dirac brackets in what follows below, we define (see [9]) $C_{\alpha \beta}\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\left.\left\{\zeta_{\alpha}(x), \zeta_{\beta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\gamma} \approx 0 ; \gamma=1, \ldots, 8}$.

It is sufficient for our purposes here to mention that the only non-vanishing elements of $C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}$ are $C_{16}^{-1}, C_{17}^{-1}, C_{18}^{-1}, C_{26}^{-1}, C_{27}^{-1}, C_{28}^{-1}, C_{34}^{-1}, C_{56}^{-1}, C_{57}^{-1}, C_{58}^{-1}$ and their transposes. Again, for the exact values of $C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}$ in the Higgs vacuum region of the monopole, see [9].

## 3. Restoration of the Lorentz invariance

In this section, we will show that incorporating quantum effects into the theory through evaluating the Dirac brackets [11, 13] of the Lorentz generators, using results quoted in section 2, will result in the manifest restoration of the Lorentz invariance of the monopole's field which was broken at the classical level.

The conventional expressions of the angular momenta and boosts for the Yang-Mills fields are
$L_{i}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x\left[\mathbf{x} \times\left(\mathbf{E}_{a} \times \mathbf{B}_{a}\right)\right]_{i}, \quad K_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i}\left(E_{j a} E_{j a}+B_{j a} B_{j a}\right)$,
where $a$ is the internal symmetry index.
We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbf{B}_{a}\right)_{i} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} G_{a j k}, \quad\left(\mathbf{E}_{a}\right)_{i} \equiv-G_{a 0 i} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the monopole's field outside its core (i.e. in the Higgs vacuum region) and by using equations (2.2), (2.4)-(2.6), (3.1) and (3.2), $L_{i}$ and $K_{i}$ will reduce there to

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{i} & =-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{0 l} F_{p q}, \\
K_{i} & =\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i}\left(F_{0 j} F_{0 j}+\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{l p q} \varepsilon_{l k m} F_{p q} F_{k m}\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

(a) First, we evaluate the (equal-time) Dirac bracket of two $L_{i}$ 's [11, 13]:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{L_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)} \equiv\left\{L_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\}-\iint\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right\} \mathrm{d}^{3} x \\
\times C_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime-1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right) \mathrm{d}^{3} x^{\prime}\left\{\zeta_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t\right), L_{h}(t)\right\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

(For three-dimensional indices, we use the simplifying equation $\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m}=\delta_{i l} \delta_{j m}-\delta_{i m} \delta_{j l}$.)

Using equation (2.6), the (equal-time) first term on the right-hand side of equation (3.4) will be

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{L_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\} & =\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \varepsilon_{h g f} \varepsilon_{f e d} \varepsilon_{d c b} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{j} x_{g}^{\prime} \\
& \times\left.\left\{\Pi_{l}(x) F_{p q}(x), \Pi_{e}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{c b}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equation (2.5), we form

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{\Pi_{l}(x) F_{p q}(x),\right. & \left.\Pi_{e}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{c b}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\left.\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\Pi_{e}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{p q}(x)\left(g_{c l} \partial_{b^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)-g_{l b} \partial_{c^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)\right) \\
& +\left.F_{c b}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \Pi_{l}(x)\left(g_{q e} \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)-g_{p e} \partial_{q} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Using equation (3.6), (3.5) will reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{L_{i}, L_{h}\right\}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{l e h}-\varepsilon_{i e k} \varepsilon_{h j l}\right) \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} \Pi_{e} F_{p q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{h g f}-\varepsilon_{i j f} \varepsilon_{h g k}\right) \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{d l b} \varepsilon_{f e d} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} F_{p q} \partial_{b} \Pi_{e} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{i h j} \varepsilon_{e p q}+\varepsilon_{i h e} \varepsilon_{j p q}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{3} x x_{j} \Pi_{e} F_{p q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{h g l}-\varepsilon_{i j l} \varepsilon_{h g k}\right) \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} F_{p q} \partial_{e} \Pi_{e} \\
& -\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{h g b}-\varepsilon_{i j b} \varepsilon_{h g k}\right) \varepsilon_{k e m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} F_{p q} \partial_{b} \Pi_{e} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Upon integrating the second and third terms on the right-hand side of equation (3.7) by parts and simplifying, it will reduce to

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{L_{i}, L_{h}\right\}= & -\varepsilon_{i h k} L_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{h l g} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} \Pi_{e} \partial_{e} F_{p q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{h g b}-\varepsilon_{i j b} \varepsilon_{h g k}\right) \varepsilon_{k e m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} \Pi_{e} \partial_{b} F_{p q} \\
= & -\varepsilon_{i h k} L_{k}+\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{h l g} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} \Pi_{e} \partial_{e} F_{p q} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j r} \varepsilon_{h g s} \varepsilon_{r s t} \varepsilon_{t k b} \varepsilon_{k e m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{g} \Pi_{e} \partial_{b} F_{p q} \\
= & -\varepsilon_{i k k} L_{k}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i h j} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} x_{e} \Pi_{e} \partial_{m} F_{p q} . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (3.8) will reduce, on the constraint surface and on account of $\zeta_{4}$, to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{L_{i}, L_{h}\right\} \approx-\varepsilon_{i h k} L_{k}, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where equation (3.9) is true since the second term in the last equality of equation (3.8) vanishes weakly on the constraint surface. This is true because $\varepsilon_{m p q} \partial_{m} F_{p q}$ vanishes on account of $\zeta_{4}$, as we can easily see using equation (2.5):
$\varepsilon_{m p q} \partial_{m} F_{p q}=\frac{\varepsilon_{m p q}}{a^{3} e} \partial_{m}\left[\phi \cdot\left(\partial_{p} \phi \times \partial_{q} \phi\right)+\partial_{p} A_{q}-\partial_{q} A_{p}\right]=\frac{\varepsilon_{m p q}}{a^{3} e} \partial_{m} \phi \cdot\left(\partial_{p} \phi \times \partial_{q} \phi\right) \approx 0$,
where we used in the last equality the equation $\phi \cdot \partial_{\mu} \phi \approx 0$, which results from the definition of $\zeta_{4}$ (where $\zeta_{4} \equiv \phi \cdot \phi-a^{2} \approx 0$, see equation (2.8)).

The second term on the right-hand side of equation (3.4) vanishes on the constraint surface. To see this, we start by evaluating the equal-time Poisson brackets of $\zeta_{i}$ 's and $L_{i}$ 's using equations (2.5), (2.6), (2.8) and (3.3):

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j}\left\{F_{0 l}(x) F_{p q}(x),\left.\zeta_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}\right\} \\
&=-\frac{\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{2 a e} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{0 l}(x)\left[\left.\partial_{q^{\prime}} \phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
&-\left.\partial_{p^{\prime}} \phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \partial_{q} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right) \\
&\left.+\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{3 r s} \varepsilon_{r u v}}{a^{2}} \phi_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \phi_{u}(x)\left(\partial_{p} \phi_{v}(x) \partial_{q} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)-\partial_{q} \phi_{v}(x) \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \approx 0 \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where (3.11) vanishes on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{4}$.
Similarly,

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j}\left\{F_{0 l}(x) F_{p q}(x),\left.\zeta_{2}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}\right\} \\
&=-\frac{\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{2 a e} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{0 l}(x)\left[\left.\partial_{q^{\prime}} \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
&-\left.\partial_{p^{\prime}} \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \partial_{q} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)+\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{1 r s} \varepsilon_{r u v}}{a^{2}} \phi_{s}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \phi_{u}(x) \\
&\left.\times\left(\partial_{p} \phi_{v}(x) \partial_{q} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)-\partial_{q} \phi_{v}(x) \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)\right] \approx 0, \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

which also vanishes on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{4}$. We also, easily, get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.\frac{3}{2 a^{5} e} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{m n p} x_{j}^{\prime} F_{0 l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{k} \phi_{n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{l} \phi_{p}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \\
& \left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{4}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{5}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=0 \\
& \left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{6}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k 3 m} \varepsilon_{m p q} x_{j}^{\prime} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{7}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k 3 m} \varepsilon_{m p q} x_{j}^{\prime} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \\
& \left.\left\{L_{i}(t), \zeta_{8}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k 3 m} \varepsilon_{m p q} x_{j}^{\prime} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}
\end{align*}
$$

We see easily, using equations (3.11) and (3.12) (which vanish on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{4}$ ), equation (3.13) and the values of $C_{\alpha \alpha \prime}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right)$ given in [9], that the second term on the right-hand side of equation (3.4) vanishes on the constraint surface in a trivial way, since the only non-vanishing elements of $C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}$ are $C_{16}^{-1}, C_{17}^{-1}, C_{18}^{-1}, C_{26}^{-1}, C_{27}^{-1}, C_{28}^{-1}, C_{34}^{-1}, C_{56}^{-1}, C_{57}^{-1}, C_{58}^{-1}$ and their transposes.

So from the above result and equation (3.9), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{L_{i}, L_{h}\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=-\varepsilon_{i h k} L_{k}, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies the first of the Lorentz algebra.
(b) Next, to verify the second of the Lorentz algebra by evaluating the Dirac bracket of $K_{i}$ 's:

$$
\begin{align*}
&\left\{K_{i}(t), K_{h}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)} \equiv\left\{K_{i}(t), K_{h}(t)\right\}-\iint\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right\} \\
& \times \mathrm{d}^{3} x C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right) \mathrm{d}^{3} x^{\prime}\left\{\zeta_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t\right), K_{h}(t)\right\} \tag{3.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where using equations (2.5), (2.6) and (3.3), and without using any constraints, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), K_{h}(t)\right\} & =\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x\left(g_{k n} g_{l h} x_{i}-g_{k n} g_{l i} x_{h}\right) F_{0 n} F_{p q} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i h j} \varepsilon_{j l k} \varepsilon_{k n m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{l} F_{0 n} F_{p q}=\varepsilon_{i h j} L_{j} . \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term on the right-hand side of equation (3.15) vanishes on the constraint surface in a trivial way since the only non-vanishing elements of $C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}$ are $C_{16}^{-1}, C_{17}^{-1}, C_{18}^{-1}, C_{26}^{-1}, C_{27}^{-1}, C_{28}^{-1}, C_{34}^{-1}, C_{56}^{-1}, C_{57}^{-1}, C_{58}^{-1}$ and their transposes, and since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=0, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2,4,5 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{4}$ alone.
For the sake of completeness, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\frac{3}{4} \varepsilon_{k l m} x_{i}^{\prime} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{p^{\prime}} \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{q^{\prime}} \phi_{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}, \\
& \left.\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{6}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.x_{i}^{\prime} F_{03}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}, \\
& \left.\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{7}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.x_{i}^{\prime} F_{03}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t},  \tag{3.18}\\
& \left.\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{8}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.x_{i}^{\prime} F_{03}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using equations (3.16) and (3.17), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{i}, K_{h}\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\varepsilon_{i h k} L_{k}, \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies the second of the Lorentz algebra.
(c) To verify the next Lorentz algebra by evaluating the equal-time Dirac bracket of $K_{i}$ 's and $L_{h}$ 's,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)} \equiv\left\{K_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\}-\iint\left\{K_{i}(t), \zeta_{\alpha}(\mathbf{x}, t)\right\} \\
\times \mathrm{d}^{3} x C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}^{\prime} ; t\right) \mathrm{d}^{3} x^{\prime}\left\{\zeta_{\alpha^{\prime}}\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}, t\right), L_{h}(t)\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using equations (3.3), (3.6), (2.5) and (2.6), the first term on the right-hand side of equation (3.20) will be

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\}= & -\frac{\varepsilon_{h j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{i} x_{j}^{\prime}\left(4 g_{n q} F_{0 n}(x) F_{0 l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{p^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\varepsilon_{r s u} \varepsilon_{r v w} g_{l u} F_{v w}(x) F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{s^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
= & -\frac{\varepsilon_{h j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i}\left[4 F_{0 q} \partial_{p}\left(x_{j} F_{0 l}\right)+\varepsilon_{r s l} \varepsilon_{r v w} F_{v w} \partial_{s}\left(x_{j} F_{p q}\right)\right] \\
= & \varepsilon_{h j k} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i} x_{j} F_{0 k} \partial_{l} F_{0 l}-\varepsilon_{h j k} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i} x_{j} F_{0 l} \partial_{k} F_{0 l} \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon_{h j k} \varepsilon_{k l m}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i} x_{j} F_{l m}\left(\varepsilon_{n p q} \partial_{n} F_{p q}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon_{h j n} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{k p q}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{i} x_{j} F_{l m} \partial_{n} F_{p q}, \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where the first term in the last equality on the right-hand side of equation (3.21) vanishes weakly on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{5}$ and equation (2.6), while the third term on the right-hand side vanishes on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{4}$ as was explicitly shown in equation (3.10). So, upon integrating the second and fourth terms on the right-hand side by parts and simplifying, equation (3.21) will reduce to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\} \approx-\varepsilon_{i h j} K_{j}(t), \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfied 'weakly' on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{4}$ and $\zeta_{5}$.

The second term on the right-hand side of equation (3.20) vanishes on the constraint surface in a trivial way by using equations (3.11)-(3.13) and (3.17) and since the only nonvanishing elements of $C_{\alpha \alpha}^{-1}$ are $C_{16}^{-1}, C_{17}^{-1}, C_{18}^{-1}, C_{26}^{-1}, C_{27}^{-1}, C_{28}^{-1}, C_{34}^{-1}, C_{56}^{-1}, C_{57}^{-1}, C_{58}^{-1}$ and their transposes.

So, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), L_{h}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=-\varepsilon_{i h j} K_{j}(t), \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies the last of the homogenous Lorentz algebra.
(d) Next, we verify the Lorentz algebra involving $P^{\mu}$. In the monopole's field outside its core (i.e. in the Higgs vacuum region) and by using equations (2.2), (2.4)-(2.6), (3.2) and equation (13a) in [9], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& P_{i}=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x\left(\mathbf{E}_{a} \times \mathbf{B}_{a}\right)_{i}=-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{0 l} F_{p q} \\
& P^{0}=H=\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x\left(F_{0 j} F_{0 j}+\frac{1}{2} F_{p q} F_{p q}\right) . \tag{3.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Analogously to equations (3.11)-(3.13), we find on the constraint surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), \zeta_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=0, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2,4,5 \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), \zeta_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.\frac{3}{4 a^{5} e} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{u v w} F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{u}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{l} \phi_{v}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{m} \phi_{w}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}$,
$\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), \zeta_{6}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i 3 m} \varepsilon_{m p q} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}$,
$\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), \zeta_{7}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i 3 m} \varepsilon_{m p q} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}$,
$\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), \zeta_{8}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i 3 m} \varepsilon_{m p q} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}$.
Equations (3.11)-(3.13), (3.17) and (3.25) and the fact that the only non-vanishing elements of $C_{\alpha \alpha}^{-1}$ are $C_{16}^{-1}, C_{17}^{-1}, C_{18}^{-1}, C_{26}^{-1}, C_{27}^{-1}, C_{28}^{-1}, C_{34}^{-1}, C_{56}^{-1}, C_{57}^{-1}, C_{58}^{-1}$ and their transposes imply that the Dirac brackets of $P_{i}$ with $P_{j}$ 's, $L_{j}$ 's and $K_{j}$ 's are equal to the corresponding Poisson brackets evaluated on the constraint surface with constraints $\zeta_{\alpha}$ 's, taken as strong equations.

So we get using equations (3.24) and (3.6) and integration by parts

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{P_{i}(t), P_{j}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), P_{j}(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0} \\
& \quad=\left.\left(\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{l m} \partial_{n} \Pi_{n}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \Pi_{k} \partial_{m} F_{p q}\right)\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}=0, \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where in the last equality the first term vanishes on account of $\zeta_{5}$ and the second term vanishes on account of $\zeta_{4}$ or equation (3.10).

Similarly, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), L_{j}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), L_{j}(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}, \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using equations (2.6), (3.3), (3.6) and (3.24)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{P_{i}(t), L_{j}(t)\right\}= & \left.\frac{1}{4} \varepsilon_{i k l} \varepsilon_{l p q} \varepsilon_{j g f} \varepsilon_{f e d} \varepsilon_{d c b} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{g}^{\prime}\left\{F_{0 k}(x) F_{p q}(x), F_{0 e}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{c b}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \varepsilon_{j k l} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{0 k} F_{p q}-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \varepsilon_{j g l} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{g} F_{p q} \partial_{k} F_{0 k} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i k l} \varepsilon_{m p q} \varepsilon_{j g l} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{g} F_{p q} \partial_{m} F_{0 k},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second term on the right-hand side vanishes on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{5}$. So, upon integrating the third term on the right-hand side by parts and then using equation (3.10), which results from $\zeta_{4}$, we get on the constraint surface

$$
\left\{P_{i}(t), L_{j}(t)\right\} \approx \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{0 l} F_{p q}=-\varepsilon_{i j k} P_{k}(t)
$$

which implies when substituting in equation (3.28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), L_{j}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=-\varepsilon_{i j k} P_{k}(t) \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), K_{j}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), K_{j}(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using equations (2.5), (2.6), (3.3) and (3.24) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), K_{j}(t)\right\}= & \varepsilon_{i l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{j}^{\prime}\left(\left.F_{0 k}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{0 l}(x) g_{q k} \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{r u v} \varepsilon_{r s w}}{4} F_{u v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{p q}(x) g_{s l} \partial_{w^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)\right) \\
= & \int \mathrm{d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{0 k} \partial_{i} F_{0 k}-\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{0 i} \partial_{k} F_{0 k}-\frac{\varepsilon_{i l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \varepsilon_{k u v}}{4} \\
& \times \int \mathrm{d}^{3} x F_{p q}\left(\varepsilon_{k l j}-\varepsilon_{k l w} x_{j} \partial_{w}\right) F_{u v} \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second term on the right-hand side of the last equality will vanish on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{5}$.

Integrating the first term on the right-hand side of equation (3.31) by parts and simplifying the third term, and then integrating one of its resulting terms further by parts and simplifying further,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{P_{i}(t), K_{j}(t)\right\} & \approx \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i j} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{0 k} F_{0 k}+\frac{\delta_{i j} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{8} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{k l} F_{p q} \\
& -\frac{\varepsilon_{i k l}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{k l}\left(\varepsilon_{m p q} \partial_{m} F_{p q}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and the third term on the right-hand side will vanish on account of equation (3.10), or equivalently $\zeta_{4}$. So we get using equation (3.24)

$$
\left\{P_{i}(t), K_{j}(t)\right\} \approx \delta_{i j} H(t)
$$

which if substituted in equation (3.30) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), K_{j}(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\delta_{i j} H(t) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(e) Finally, the Lorentz algebra involving H .

Analogously to equations (3.11)-(3.13), we find on the constraint surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left\{H(t), \zeta_{\alpha}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=0, \quad \text { for } \alpha=1,2,4,5 \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\left\{H(t), \zeta_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.\frac{3}{a^{5} e} \varepsilon_{k l m} F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{k}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{p} \phi_{l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \partial_{q} \phi_{m}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \\
& \left.\left\{H(t), \zeta_{6}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.F_{03}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{3}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t},  \tag{3.34}\\
& \left.\left\{H(t), \zeta_{7}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=-\left.F_{03}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \phi_{1}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}, \\
& \left.\left\{H(t), \zeta_{8}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}=\left.F_{03}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (3.11)-(3.13), (3.17), (3.25) and (3.33) and the fact that the only nonvanishing elements of $C_{\alpha \alpha^{\prime}}^{-1}$ are $C_{16}^{-1}, C_{17}^{-1}, C_{18}^{-1}, C_{26}^{-1}, C_{27}^{-1}, C_{28}^{-1}, C_{34}^{-1}, C_{56}^{-1}, C_{57}^{-1}, C_{58}^{-1}$ and their transposes imply that the Dirac brackets of H with $P_{j}$ 's, $L_{j}$ 's and $K_{j}$ 's are equal to the corresponding Poisson brackets evaluated on the constraint surface with constraints $\zeta_{\alpha}$ 's, taken as strong equations.

So we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{L_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{L_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}, \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using equations (2.5), (2.6), (3.3) and (3.24)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{L_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}= & -\frac{\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{4}\left(\iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{j}\left\{F_{0 l}(x) F_{p q}(x), F_{0 n}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{0 n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\} \mid\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{b c d} \varepsilon_{b f g}}{4} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{j}\left\{F_{0 l}(x) F_{p q}(x), F_{c d}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{f g}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}\right) \\
= & \varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{j}\left(\left.F_{0 n}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{0 l}(x) g_{q n} \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{b c d} \varepsilon_{b f g}}{4} F_{f g}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{p q}(x) g_{c l} \partial_{d^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)\right), \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where upon integrations by parts at suitable places, using the properties of the Levi-Civita tensor, and simplifying equation (3.36) will reduce to
$\left\{L_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}=-\varepsilon_{i j k} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{0 k}\left(\partial_{l} F_{0 l}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon_{i j k} \varepsilon_{k l m}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x x_{j} F_{l m}\left(\varepsilon_{n p q} \partial_{n} F_{p q}\right) \approx 0$,
where the first term on the right-hand side vanishes on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{5}$, and the second term vanishes on account of $\zeta_{4}$ or equation (3.10). So, equation (3.35) will now give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{L_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{L_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}=0 \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{K_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}, \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using equations (2.5), (2.6), (3.3) and (3.24)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}= & \frac{\varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{16} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{i}\left(\left.\left\{F_{0 j}(x) F_{0 j}(x), F_{k l}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\left\{F_{k l}(x) F_{p q}(x), F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\right|_{t^{\prime}=t}\right) \\
= & \frac{\varepsilon_{k l m} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{2} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime} x_{i}\left(\left.F_{p q}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{0 j}(x) g_{j l} \partial_{k^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left.F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{k l}(x) g_{j p} \partial_{q} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{i k l} \varepsilon_{l p q} \int d^{3} x F_{0 k} F_{p q}=-P_{i} \tag{3.40}
\end{align*}
$$

So equations (3.39) and (3.40) now give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{K_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{K_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}=-P_{i} \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}, \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using equations (2.5), (2.6) and (3.24)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\{P_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}= & \frac{\varepsilon_{i m l} \varepsilon_{m p q}}{4} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime}\left\{F_{0 l}(x) F_{p q}(x), F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\varepsilon_{k r s} \varepsilon_{k u v}}{4} F_{r s}\left(x^{\prime}\right) F_{u v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\}\left.\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} \\
= & \varepsilon_{i l m} \varepsilon_{m p q} \iint \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathrm{~d}^{3} x^{\prime}\left(\left.F_{0 j}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{0 l}(x) g_{j q} \partial_{p} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left.\frac{\varepsilon_{k r s} \varepsilon_{k u v}}{4} F_{u v}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|_{t^{\prime}=t} F_{p q}(x) g_{l r} \partial_{s^{\prime}} \delta\left(\mathbf{x}^{\prime}-\mathbf{x}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where it reduces, upon integrations by parts at suitable places, using the properties of the Levi-Civita tensor, dropping the surface terms at infinity and simplifying, to
$\left\{P_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}=-\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x F_{0 i}\left(\partial_{j} F_{0 j}\right)-\frac{\varepsilon_{i j k}}{4} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x F_{j k}\left(\varepsilon_{m p q} \partial_{m} F_{p q}\right) \approx 0$,
where the first term on the right-hand side vanishes on the constraint surface on account of $\zeta_{5}$, and the second term vanishes on account of $\zeta_{4}$ or equation (3.10). So, equation (3.42) will now give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{P_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}_{D(\zeta)}=\left.\left\{P_{i}(t), H(t)\right\}\right|_{\zeta_{\alpha}^{\prime} s=0}=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (3.14), (3.19), (3.23), (3.27), (3.29), (3.32), (3.38), (3.41) and (3.44) are strong equations, since inside the Dirac brackets the constraints equations are taken to be strong. Hence, if the Lorentz algebra is valid at the first level of the Dirac brackets, then it will also be valid at all higher levels.

## 4. Conclusion

While [4] showed that the Lorentz invariance of non-Abelian monopoles to be broken at the 'classical' level, equations (3.14), (3.19), (3.23), (3.27), (3.29), (3.32), (3.38), (3.41) and (3.44) here show explicitly that en route to 'quantization', we were able to restore the Lorentz invariance of the 't Hooft-Polyakov monopole's field. Here we used recent results from the Dirac quantization of the ' t Hooft-Polyakov monopole field (i.e. in the Higgs vacuum), given by [9], to show that the Lorentz algebra is valid in this region upon quantization. In particular, we used the constraints $\zeta_{4}$ and $\zeta_{5}$ repeatedly in evaluating the Dirac brackets of the Lorentz algebra here. While $\zeta_{4}$ is just the Higgs vacuum condition, it seemed that $\zeta_{5}$ was most essential in proving the Lorentz invariance in this region.
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